

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,716	12/02/2003	Yu-Chien Hsiao	BHT-3249-6	2205	
7590 07/05/2005			EXAMINER		
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			NASRI, JAVAID H		
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBUF	RG PIKE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/724,716		HSIAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Javaid Nasri	·	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication app	ears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.13 communication. irty (30) days, a reply um statutory period w reply will, by statute, nths after the mailing	36(a). In no event, he within the statutory will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ma	av 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	·							
· <u>·</u> Ξ.	•—			secution as to th	a marite ie			
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the pi	actice under E	x parte Quayie	r, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,11-14 and 20</u> is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected t 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10 and 15-19</u> are sub	is/are withdraw rejected. o.	vn from consid						
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to be 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12/2/03. Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected.	5/2/05 is/are: a objection to the o iding the correcti	a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be he ion is required if	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification. a) All b) Some * c) None of the price of the certified copies of the price of the certified copies of the price of the pric	of: ority documents ority documents olies of the prior national Bureau	s have been re s have been re ity documents ı (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been received .2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) [Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because:

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Note: In the instant case form and legal phraseology "said" is used.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,716

Art Unit: 2839

3. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Comstock et al (5,211,572).

Page 3

Comstock et al discloses, for claim 1, a dielectric housing (18); a plurality of terminals received in the housing; a latch (24) extending from the housing and comprising a locked portion (28) for locking with a complementary connector and a pressing portion (26) for being exerted on by an external force, the pressing portion and the dielectric housing defining a space there between (see abstract and figure 2); and a retaining device (22) comprising a main portion insertable in a first direction into the space beneath the pressing portion to prevent latching of the latch, the retaining device being removable upon movement of the retaining device in a second direction opposite to the first direction, for claim 2, the locked portion of the latch is a hook (18) adapted for being received in a recess of the complementary connector, for claims 11 and 20, the latch comprises a support (30) integrally extending from the housing, and the locked portion and the pressing portion are respectively located at opposite sides of the support (see figure 1), for claim 12, a first electrical connector comprising a first dielectric housing (18); a plurality of first terminals received in the first dielectric housing, a latch (28) extending from the first housing and comprising a hook (28) portion for locking with a complementary connector and a pressing portion (26) for being exerted on by an external force, the pressing portion and the first dielectric housing defining a space there between (see abstract); and a retaining device (22) comprising a main portion insertable in a first direction into the space beneath the pressing portion to prevent latching of the latch, the retaining device being removable upon movement of the retaining device in a second direction opposite to the first direction; and a second electrical connector mating with the first electrical connector, comprising a second dielectric housing defining a

Application/Control Number: 10/724,716 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

recess for receiving the hook portion of the latch; and a plurality of second terminals received in the second dielectric housing (see abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 4, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Comstock et al.

Comstock et al discloses all the limitations of claims 1 and 12, as shown above,

However, Comstock et al does not disclose, the retaining device as a tie with thickness equal to the height of the space. In the specification paragraph [0021], a similar tie is well known in the art, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention for Comstock et al. to use similar tie instead of the locking key (22), for economical purpose.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's comment:

Art Unit: 2839

a) The locking key (22) of Comstock et al is removed in a way different from that of the retaining device of the present invention and Comstock et al do not teach a retaining device comprising a main portion insertable in a first direction into the space beneath the pressing portion to prevent latching of the latch, the retaining device being removable upon movement of the retaining device in a second direction opposite the first direction. It should be noted that Comstock et al do teach a retaining device comprising a main portion insertable in a first direction (arrow D, see figure 1) into the space beneath the pressing portion to prevent latching of the latch, the retaining device being removable upon movement of the retaining device in a second direction (opposite arrow D), opposite the first direction. See note below.

Note: USPTO interprets claims, giving claims their "broadest reasonable interpretation." (See, e.g., In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55 (Fed. Cir. 1997)).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2839

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javaid Nasri whose telephone number is 571 272 2095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tulsidas C. Patel can be reached on 571 272 2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

avaid Nasri

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2839

JIL

Jhn

June 30, 2005